	Case 5:24-cv-01444-CV-JC Document 3 #:4	32 Filed 04/16/25 605	Page 1 of 3	Page ID
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRIC NICHOLAS ED BUSKIRK, Petitioner, v.	CT OF CALIFORN Case No. 5:24-cv ORDER ACCEP CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDA	IA 7-01444-CV-J TING FINDII S, AND ATIONS OF	NGS,
15 16	PATRICK COVELLO, Warden, Respondent.	JUDGE [DOCKET NO. 3	ES MAGISTR 3]	AIE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Verified Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and the supporting memorandum ("Petition"), the parties' submissions in connection with petitioner's Motion for a Stay Pending Exhaustion of State Court Remedies ("Stay Motion"), and all of the records herein, including the February 14, 2025 Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge ("Report and Recommendation"). The Court approves and accepts the Report and Recommendation.			

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Stay Motion is granted to the extent it seeks a stay pursuant to Kelly v. Small, 315 F.3d 1063 (9th Cir. 2003) subject to the below-specified conditions/limitations.
- 2. Claims Eight, Nine, and Ten of the Petition are dismissed without prejudice.
- 3. The resulting fully exhausted Petition and this action are stayed for petitioner to exhaust <u>only</u> Claims Eight, Nine, and Ten.
- 4. Petitioner shall file periodic reports detailing the status of the state court proceedings beginning sixty (60) days from the date of this Order and every sixty (60) days thereafter. If petitioner has no new information regarding the status of petitioner's efforts to exhaust his state remedies in a given period because a matter remains pending in state court, petitioner must nonetheless file a status report advising the Court of such fact.
- 5. Petitioner shall, within thirty (30) days of any state decision granting petitioner habeas relief or, if none, within thirty (30) days of the California Supreme Court's decision relative to a state habeas petition containing Claims Eight, Nine, and Ten, file a notice of such decision and attach as an exhibit thereto, a copy of such decision.

6. Petitioner is cautioned that:

- a) the failure to meet any of the time requirements set out above may result in an order vacating the stay, *nunc pro tunc*, may preclude consideration of petitioner's unexhausted claims, and may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution or failure to obey this Order; and
- b) the granting of the stay pursuant to <u>Kelly</u>, in no way relieves petitioner of the obligation to comply with the one-year statute of limitations under 28 U.S.C. section 2244(d)(1) and nothing in this Order should be construed to suggest that the Court has made any determination as

to whether it would ultimately permit petitioner to amend the Petition to readd Claims Eight, Nine, and Ten once they have been exhausted.

- 7. Noting in this Order precludes respondent from raising procedural or other objections/defenses to the Petition, any amended federal petition or any claims contained therein.
- 8. The Clerk shall administratively close this case during the pendency of the stay and remove this case from the Court's active case load.
- 9. The Clerk shall serve copies of this Order on counsel for petitioner and respondent.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 4/16/25

Cynthia Valenzuela

HONORABLE CYNTHIA VALENZUEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE